

U.S. Serial No. 10/650,630
Response to Final Office Action
Mailed July 07, 2005

Page 5 of 5

REMARKS

In view of the Final rejection of all the apparatus claims in this application, and the allowance of all the method claims (claim 9 appears now to be allowable in light of its changed dependency) applicants are electing to cancel all the rejected claims and thereby place this application in condition to be issued.

Therefore, it is requested that claim 9 be allowed and this application processed for issue.

It is applicants' intent to file a Continuation application, with appropriate apparatus claims corresponding to the now-cancelled claims 12-18 and 20, prior to issue of a patent from this application.

Therefore, it is urged that claims 4-6 and 8-20 define over the art of record, both applied and cited, and therefore should be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN,
MINNICH & McKEE, LLP

09 January 2006
Date

Timothy E. Nauman
Timothy E. Nauman
Reg. No. 32,283
1100 Superior Avenue, 7th Floor
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2579
(216) 861-5582

N:\DSGZ\2006153A\MM90001384V001.doc